
## H-Net Reviews in the Humanities & Social Sciences

**Noah Trudeau.** Lincoln's Greatest Journey: Sixteen Days that Changed a Presidency, March 24 - April 8, 1865. El Dorado Hills: Savas Beatie, 2016. 336 pp. \$32.95 (cloth), ISBN 978-1-61121-326-3.



Reviewed by Martin P. Johnson (Miami University Hamilton)

Published on H-FedHist (May, 2017)

Commissioned by Caryn E. Neumann (Miami University of Ohio Regionals)

## Martin Johnson on Trudeau's Lincoln's Greatest Journey

This well-researched and engaging study of an unusual episode in Lincolnâs presidency provides yet more evidence that there is always more to know about our most familiar president. Lincolnâs remarkable visit to Richmond just after Union forces entered the city is noted in every important study of the last days of the war, yet Noah Trudeauâs work illuminates the story of Lincolnâs entire journey into the expiring Confederacy, rendering the nearly three-week excursion in almost photographic detail. Larger political contexts are not the focus here, but for those wishing to follow in the footsteps of Lincoln at a crucial time in the war, this is the book.

Trudeauâs strengths are well suited to this topic and approach, as he is the author of a half-dozen books that often focus in detail on particular battles or military events during the last years or months of the Civil War. Lincolnâs journey to Richmond, while not a military campaign, was not unlike the contingent, fluid tides of battle traced elsewhere by Trudeau, as the line of movement also shifted with the news from the front and the internecine squabbles among the higher-ups. In time

with the pace of events, Lincoln repeatedly extended his stay at Grantâs headquarters, so that a pleasure cruise down the Potomac to see the troops (and his son, serving with Grant) that was envisioned to last six days grew to three times that duration. Across the book, Trudeau interweaves brief updates on military events in the region as a counterpoint to the Lincoln partyâs own campaign by land and water as it followed behind the main front of battle until, somewhat imprudently, the president entered the enemyâs capital with little escort and even less concern for safety.

After a brief preface, the book proceeds in orderly chronological fashion. The first chapter treats the immediate background to the trip and its two days. Sixteen chapters then follow, each devoted to a day of the journey and each titled simply by the date in question. This scheme provides structure and predictability but does not easily allow for discerning a shape or pattern to the journey. This relative lack of narrative arc is more than compensated by the rich, immersive details and sheer volume of evidence presented by Trudeau, who has collected

seemingly every jot and tittle ever written or recollected about the journey, from the stevedores shoveling coal for the presidential steamship to the startled Virginians who happened upon him on the streets of Petersburg. Ships logs, unit histories, official records, diaries, letters, and memoirs all yield their secrets, revealing innumerable moments and vignettes that gain from Trudeauâs compact and effective style.

Trudeauâs concern for completeness and accuracy extends to his evaluation of his sources, which are discussed in a âSources Casebookâ appended to the work. Here, mirroring the day-by-day organization of the chapters, Trudeau discusses the most important sources for that dayâs events, often explaining such matters as why one account was favored over another. He suggests, for example, that Mary Lincolnâs alleged bad behavior was exaggerated by several key sources, though the evidence for Maryâs cringe-worthy conduct given in the chapters might lead some to a different conclusion. These comments on sources might have been more useful if integrated into the chapter notes, but they demonstrate Trudeauâs careful attention to problems of memory and bias in sources.

One disappointing aspect is that the scholarly apparatus is deficient. It might be pedantic to insist too much upon the forms, but this is a case in which faults of documentation often hinder the usefulness of a wellresearched work. The âSources Casebookâ is seemingly not indexed, making it difficult to combine the information for sources used in different chapters. More seriously, all the notes are often-idiosyncratic âshort citationsâ that do not contain full bibliographic information. This would not be such a great problem, except the bibliography is unnecessarily divided into many sections, making it difficult to find the sources cited in the notes. Would a source referred to as, âPierpoint, Recollectionsâ be found under the heading of aAutobiographies, Biographies, Diaries, Letters, Memoirs, Speeches, and Personal Narrativesâ? Or perhaps under âAbraham Lincolnâ or the âMiscellaneous Worksâ? It was in none of these but is an unpublished work that is listed in a subheading under the otherwise unidentified âWest Virginia University Archives & Manuscriptsâ in the âManuscriptsâ section. Now, alert readers with professional training might guess that the lack of italicization in âRecollectionsâ would suggest an unpublished source, but the readership for this book will be wider than that. In any case, what is one to do with a citation that reads, âBarnes, âWith Lincoln,â 751.â? (It turns out to be a reference to an article in *Appletonâs Magazine*.) On a similar note, the subtitle is oddly inaccurate. Lincoln left Washington on March 23 (as described in the first chapter) and returned on April 9 (as noted in the title of chapter 17), consequently making this a journey of eighteen, and not sixteen, days. Savas Beatie should more effectively support their authors.

Possibly a reviewer who has written about Lincolnås trip to Gettysburg should not question whether Lincolnâs visit to Richmond was indeed his âGreatest Journey, â but we can all agree it was his longest. Trudeauâs rapid preface and quick epilogue do state that the journey changed Lincoln, such that when he returned, he was no longer passive and âreactiveâ but was âlooking ahead to the challenges of bringing the nation its anew birth of freedom.â His point of view was forward, not backwardâ (p. xii). This may be a reasonable argument, but quoting the Gettysburg Address of 1863 may not be the best evidence for a sudden transformational change in 1865. More promising is Trudeauâs assertion that Lincoln returned âincreasingly anxious to accelerate the reconstruction processâ (p. 253). He documents this vision of a Lincoln unleashed by briefly reviewing his âLast Speech, a issued only two days after returning from Richmond, that for the first time publicly advocated voting rights for some African American men.

Throughout *Lincolnâs Greatest Journey* such matters receive little attention, however. Rather than giving us another look at Lincolnâs politics, Trudeauâs unique contribution is to give us Lincolnâs experience. This valuable book shows us Lincoln taking a journey unlike any other in his presidency, one that we can all experience with him.

If there is additional discussion of this review, you may access it through the network, at:

https://networks.h-net.org/h-fedhist

**Citation:** Martin P. Johnson. Review of Trudeau, Noah, *Lincoln's Greatest Journey: Sixteen Days that Changed a Presidency, March 24 - April 8, 1865.* H-FedHist, H-Net Reviews. May, 2017.

URL: http://www.h-net.org/reviews/showrev.php?id=49133



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 United States License.