## H-Net Reviews

**Monique Bellan.** Dismember Remember: Das anatomische Theater von Lina Saneh und Rabih Mroué. Wiesbaden: Reichert Verlag, 2013. 240 pp. EUR 59.00 (cloth), ISBN 978-3-89500-982-2.



Reviewed by Yvonne Albers (Philipps-Universität Marburg)

Published on H-AMCA (June, 2015)

Commissioned by Jessica Gerschultz (University of Kansas)

Audio:	Ok But what are you guys trying	Audio:	Speak into the microphone.
to do with theatre?		Artist:	He doesnât feel like it.[1]
Artist:	Weâre doing theatre.		

Audio: You mean these performances youâve been presenting this last while, you consider them the-atre?

Artist: I would prefer to delay my answer for the simple reason that I donât want to be accused of preempting criticism and blocking it.

[â¦]

Audio: So, why arenât you acting...really acting?

Artist: Honestly, lâm getting offered a lot of rolesâ¦but lâm not interested in any of them.

[â¦]

Audio: Ok. Ok. If there arenât any directors to your liking... Your husband...why doesnât he produce anything for you?

Artist: He doesnât feel like it.

This short extract from Biokhraphia, a theater performance mocking the genre of the artistâs interview while negotiating the state of theater in Lebanon today, allows a telling glimpse into the highly conceptual, albeit provocative, (auto)critical, and nevertheless savvy theater of Lebanese artists Lina Saneh (b. 1966) and Rabih Mroué (b. 1967). Starting with their first joint project, âGhandi as-Saghirâ in 1991-an adaption of Elias Khouryâs novel of the same name-and continuing with many separate and joint projects until today, the coupleas radical formal approach, combined with a deep commitment to the âLebanese âconditio humanaââ (p. 1), succeeded in fundamentally provoking Lebanonâs theater traditions and inspiring an international art scene. Both are commonly referred to as part of Lebanonâs âpostwarâ generation, which spans famous peers such as Walid Raad, Akram Zaatari, Joana Hadjithomas, and Khalil Joreige. Since the turn of the millennium, one can hardly find an international festival on contemporary arts from the Middle East that does not feature at least one representative of this âgeneration.â As just one recent example, Rabih Mroué was invited to the 13th DOCUMENTA in 2012 with two of his latest works concerning the documentation of death in the Syrian revolution: *The Pixelated Revolution* (lecture performance, 2012) and *Instructions and Advice How to Shoot Today* (installation, 2012).

Surprisingly enough, little scholarly work has been published on Mroué and Saneh, and until now, no comprehensive volume has been dedicated to their oeuvre.[2] Luckily now, Monique Bellan has drawn attention to this desideratum: the above-quoted performance belongs to a corpus of work at the center of her book-length study âDismember Remember: The Anatomical Theater of Lina Saneh and Rabih Mrouéâ (2013, German). This critical corpus of four stage performances and one video work is the object of a pervasive analysis that draws from current theoretical work in the fields of theater studies, literary studies, and post-Marxist aesthetics. Bellan traces the many allusions and references to Arab (and non-Arab) cultural history, like themes and images that go back to classical Arabic literature,[3] as well as to Lebanonâs recent political history and present age, all corresponding with each other in these highly conceptual artworks. The author also provides a synchronic perspective of cultural critique conducted by Lebanese peers and critics to supplement her analysis.

As prolegomena to this endeavor, the bookâs introductory historical chapter supplies an indispensable reference for scholars interested in contemporary Lebanese theater and its histories. Here, Bellan presents a discursive background story of the debates and aesthetics that preceded and inform Lebanese theater and performance art today. Starting with the nineteenth-century Arab debate on a missing Arab theater tradition in comparison to the European model, the text subsequently focuses on Lebanon, where Bellan traces the emergence of a specific local avant-garde theater in the politicized period of the 1960s, together with its contribution to the then-dominant debate on aá<sup>1</sup>£Äla (authenticity) vs.  $mu\hat{E}_{i}\ddot{A}a^{1}\epsilon ara$  (modernity). With the end of the civil war (1975-89), a âgeneration of ruptureâ (quoting Lebanese journalist and art critic Pierre Abi Saab, p. 53) would then remap the artistic field. These artists are related to each other by a shared interest in the ambiguities of collective memory, as well as their profound critique of aesthetic representation conditioned by an increased âNGOizationâ (quoting Hanan Toukan, p. 55) of cultural production after 9/11.

Regarding her main objective, the performance analyses, Bellan pervasively opts for an inductive methodology of deconstruction, which stands in accordance with Mrouéâs and Sanehâs own critical practice.[4] Their deconstructivist criticism, as Bellan presumes and proves, is primarily performed through monologic speech at the expense of dramatic dialogue and physical action on stage. Bellanâs main interest lies in the ways in which this strategy subverts conventional patterns of perception based on Lebanonâs social orders, and how visibility is produced by a confrontation of text, image, and body as a crucial element in both artistsâ approaches. Sanehâs and Mrouéâs âexcessive descriptivismâ (p. 169), by which they are carrying out a physical, epistemological, and social autopsy of the individual, leads Bellan to describe this theater as intrinsically âanatomical.â Bellanâs terminology of an anatomical theater revives the eponymous event established in the European Renaissance, in which dead corpses were exhibited in lecture halls and an autopsy was performed under the eyes of an expert audience. This scenery offers Bellan a fertile ground for sketching the main gesture (or rather posture) of a theater based on public indicating, depicting, and surgical cutting in order to bare the hidden mechanisms at work both in art and Lebanonâs amnesic society-a thought also provoked by the bookâs title: âDismember Remember.â The title refers to a quote by playwright and Brecht pupil Heiner MÃ<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>ller, by which Bellan points to the dynamic of an anatomical theater as the locus of constant de-/reconstruction, to which the following analytical chapters are dedicated.

The major portion of the book focuses on three of Sanehâs works: Biokhraphia (in cooperation with Mroué, 2002), I had a Dream Mom (a videotaped conversation between Saneh and her elderly mother about a mysterious ghost city she dreamt of, 2006), and Appendix (2007), all of which share a radical gesture of revealing the impossibility of individuality in Lebanese society. One of Bellanâs important achievements is to disclose and contextualize Sanehâs overarching artistic occupation with the body as a âbattlefieldâ (p. 124), which becomes particularly obvious in her literally anatomical work Appendix: posited in the sterile setting of a white cube (at least in her first performance at Sfeir-Semler gallery Beirut), Saneh sits, mute and motionless, on a transparent chair. In front of her and behind a lectern, her husband Mroué would meanwhile inform the audience about Sanehâs wish of a total physical disappearance after death, and the many undertakings she has taken into consideration to reach this aim, since the Lebanese state does not allow for the cremation of her corpse. After none of her ideas has yet helped to realize this desperate wish, Saneh, as the audience is told, now opts for a last exit strategy: to sell her single body parts-upon request also signed by the artist-to art collectors and galleries. Bellan now impressively manages to locate Sanehâs sculptural âbody workâ in a dense cultural reference network: the body in Appendix is exposed primarily in its objectness, as a plastic matter which can eagerly become a projection surface for political, social, erotic, or anarchic representations or even, if necessary-and by the act of signing it-an artwork to sell, an easily done âready-madeâ (p. 138). It is shown how this links Sanehâs performance equally to Artaudâs Theater of Cruelty, to the attempts of body artists like Piero Manzoni, Michel Journiac, or Marina AbramoviÄ, as well as to the traditional figure of the *á¥akawÄtÄ*«, the storyteller in Arabic culture. The á¥akawÄtÄ« is often regarded as the forefather of Arabic theatricality: by thrilling his audience with a compelling narration and an occasional use of physical gesture to animate his monologue, the á¥akawÄtÄ«-similar to Sanehâs, but also Mrouéâs attempt-upholds the âpredominance of the wordâ (p. 185) over the performing body.

In contrast to the rather âintrospective and microscopicâ (p. 2) work of his wife and colleague, the performances of Rabih Mroué are distinguished by Bellan as comparatively âextroverted.â Often, they choose a specific historical event as a point of departure and involve extensive documentary material in order to retell (or re-enact) a distinct chapter of Lebanonâs (post)war history. Bellan confines her analysis to the two quite different performances: Who is Afraid of Representation (2004), in which the story of a Lebanese assassin is confronted with the history of Western body art, and How Nancy Wished that Everything Was an April Foolâs Joke (2007), which revives four fighters who served for different sectarian militias during the civil war. Here, the body does not appear as a âbattlefield,â but as an unanimated âsite of historyâ (p. 191) that is confronted with a detailed, austere, and redundant historiographic narratology that generates the atmosphere of a trial in which the difference between â(aesthetic) judgment and (legal) sentenceâ (p. 149) is put under scrutiny.

In comparison to her treatment of Saneh, Bellanâs account of Mrouéâs works unfortunately does not fulfill the bookâs self-identification as a âcomprehensive introductionâ to the work of both artists. Rather, *Dismember Remember* tends to underexpose some of his oeuvreâs most distinguishing characteristics.[5] Still, as Bellan notes in her introduction, Mroué is doubtlessly the more visible (and celebrated) of the two artists, particularly on an international level. Hence, her study

should be received as an attempt to do justice to Lina Sanehâs important but less regarded works. Nevertheless, Bellan sheds light on the core issues of both artistsâ approaches and finally characterizes them, according to Jacques RanciÃ<sup>"</sup> reâs notion of the political in art, as a dissensual practice, which aims to disrupt established systems of social order and is thereby able to redefine (and redistribute) the realm of the common.[6] Due to her theoretical emphasis on theater theory, the study is moreover able to analyze aspects that most existing studies neglect: the position and critical selfidentification of Saneh and Mroué as theater artists within a specific (trans)national theatrical tradition and the relevance of this self-positioning as a crucial impetus of both artistsâ work. The initially quoted performance Biokhraphia serves as a good example for that: Sanehâs and Mrouéâs anatomical theater does not spare itself from deconstruction, but instead subjects itself to an auto-critical examination of its core elements with regard to its legitimacy as a locus of critique in a given society. Bellan also responds to a dearth in existing research on contemporary Lebanese art, which usually tends to understand Sanehâs and Mrouéâs multidisciplinary approach by referring to a rather generalizing contemporary art theory. In contrast, she locates both Saneh and Mroué as part of a shift in contemporary theater to the âpostâdramaticâ (a term established by theater scholar Hans Thies-Lehmann), but does so without dismissing the local discourses that inform their attempts and by taking into account the distinct position theater has occupied in modern Arab thought and criticism. All of this is written in a subtle and sensitive style which, one would hope, an English-speaking audience will soon get the chance to read.

## Notes

[1]. An English translation from the Arabic performance text was first published in *The Drama Review* 52, no. 1 (Spring 2008): 167â178. Here: 172-73.

[2]. One semi-academic exception is Maria Hlavajova and Jill Winder, eds., A BAK Critical Reader in Artistâs Practice: Rabih Mroué (Utrecht: post editions, 2012), which assembles a broad array of essays on Mroué by international artists, critics, thinkers, and curators. Thought-provoking and insightful, the format aims to offer both an introduction to his work and to intellectually engage with its impact on the understanding of art today.

[3]. To give an example, Bellan points to the motif of  $\hat{a}WuqÅ \ll f \hat{E}_{\dot{c}}al\ddot{A}$ -l-aá<sup>1</sup>lÄlâ (Standing by the ruins) in Sanehâs *I had a Dream, Mom*, a theme that can be traced

back to pre-Islamic poetry such as *Layla wa Majnūn* (p. 114).

[4]. Bellan identifies Sanehâs and Mrouéâs approach as deconstructivist insofar as it encompasses a decentralization of single elements (such as Sanehâs organ selling or Mrouéâs meticulous reenactment of an assassination) and a dissolution both of binary oppositions (actor vs. role; author/actor vs. reader/spectator; fact vs. fiction) and of the concepts of identity, subjectivity, and representation (p. 165).

[5]. For instance, Mrouéâs distinct auto-fictional attempt, his conceptual interest in an involvement with his spectator, and his clear-cut engagement with rewriting the history of the Lebanese Left are only three emphases which distinguish his approach from Sanehâs, but which are touched only cursorily.

[6]. In his aim to question the traditional dichotomy between aesthetics and politics as two distinct spheres,

French philosopher Jacques RanciÃ"re developed the conception of the apartition of the sensiblea (Le Partage du sensible: Esthétique et politique [Paris: La Fabrique, 2000]), starting from the assumption that the Foucaultian âpoliceâ (la police) not only governs through organizing power, distributing positions, and thereby establishing and preserving a certain order, but also defines what is visible, audible, i.e., perciptible or sensible at all. RanciÃ" re hereby enters a discussion on the nexus of aesthetics and politics, conceiving âpoliticsâ (le politique) not as process that aims for a collective consensus, but as an inherent antagonism in the representations of the common, an essential dissent. In his view, art is capable to reveal this partition of the sensible by materially and symbolically dissenting against the ways of âbeing, doing, and sayingâ defined by the police and thereby creating a transient moment in which the fundamental disagreement (la  $m\tilde{A}$ ©sentente) in a given community is temporarily exposed.

If there is additional discussion of this review, you may access it through the network, at:

https://networks.h-net.org/h-amca

**Citation:** Yvonne Albers. Review of Bellan, Monique, *Dismember Remember: Das anatomische Theater von Lina Saneh und Rabih Mroué*. H-AMCA, H-Net Reviews. June, 2015.

URL: http://www.h-net.org/reviews/showrev.php?id=43960



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 United States License.