## H-Net Reviews in the Humanities & Social Sciences

**Herman Paul.** *Hayden White.* London: Wiley-VCH Verlag, 2011. 204 S. \$69.95 (cloth), ISBN 978-0-7456-5013-5; \$24.95 (paper), ISBN 978-0-7456-5014-2.



**Reviewed by** Ptolemy Barnes **Published on** H-Soz-u-Kult (July, 2012)

## H. Paul: Hayden White

The career of Hayden V. White â philosopher, historian, and cultural critic â has the air of an ending. If the titles of past publications, Metahistory (1973), Content of the Form (1987), and Figural Realism (1999), signalled a radical intention to run together levels of thought kept apart merely, he claimed, by convention, The Practical Past, the name of Whiteas forthcoming collection, heralds a virtual hanging up of the sword. Hayden White, Metahistory. The Historical Imagination in Nineteenth Century Europe, London 1973; Id., The Content of the Form. Narrative discourse and historical representation, London 1987, Id., Figural Realism. Studies in the Mimesis Effect, London 1999; Herman Paul, Personal Communication, 19th August, 2011. As he admits, âgenuine historians are chary of philosophy of history for their own good reasons, and there seems to be little chance of bringing them onto common ground in the foreseeable future.â Hayden White, âThe Practical Pastâ, in: Historein, Vol. 10 (2010), pp. 10â19, p. 18.

This considered, Herman Paulâs âHayden Whiteâ is a timely summation and reinterpretation of Whiteâs work, achieved through a concise study of its context and careful rereadings of the key texts. Principles to follow when reading Whiteâs writings come thick and fast in the introductory paragraphs. Among these is Whiteâs aversion to the ârigid system thinkingâ of a Russell or Frege, the analytical tradition that dominated in the 1960s (p. 8). Many will recall White, firstly, as the author of Metahistoryâs introduction, fifty pages whose terminological edifice is not without a whiff of systematic ambition, and, for this reason perhaps, part of a text no longer endorsed by its writer. This, Paul suggests, is a highly misleading position from which to read Whiteâs oeuvre, even if it has some resonance with his early work. Instead, Paul argues, White is concerned largely with the extent to which the moral and political imaginations are intertwined with the representation of history.

The first two chapters bear the fruits of Paulâs 2006 Ph.D. theses on Whiteâs early work (1955â1973) Herman Paul, Masks of meaning. Existentialist humanism in Hayden Whiteâs philosophy of history, Groningen 2006. and reveal a perhaps surprising propensity in its subject for scientific modes of thought. With his doctoral work White undertook âa socioscientific study of

the long term causes of the papal schism of 1130â (p. 17), inspired by Max Weber, Karl Mannheim, Arnold J. Toynbee and Carl Hempel. Paul notices interesting parallels between Whiteâs use of Hempelâs âcovering law modelâ, deployed as an explanatory principle, and Metahistoryâs tropology. Chapter Two moves to territory more familiar to Whiteâs readers. It deals with Whiteâs âliberation historiographyâ (p. 35), by which Paul means Whiteâs coupling of an âexistentialist fascination for freedom with a Marxist inspired political visionâ (p. 36).

Chapter Three is a contribution to the debate around Whiteâs seminal Metahistory. Paul argues that the body of the work was written in the 1960s and prior to Whiteâs engagement with structuralism. The introductory essay, however, written after the text which it prefaced, was an expression of a terminology that White would develop fully in the early 1970s. Paulâs other major conclusion, earned through a close reading of the text, is that the tropes ârefer to modes of realism, rather than to features of narrative textsâ (p. 69). By this Paul means that they function in the mind of the historian as grounding assumptions about the nature of reality, rather than as literary devices present in the historianâs text.

Chapter Four and Five deal with Whiteâs studies of narrative, the structuralist flavour of his writings in the 1970s, and his relationship with contemporaries. White, it is argued, tried to find a position that simultaneously incorporated the ideas of the âlinguistic turnâ but preserved at its centre the free subject. This determined his position toward such renowned contemporaries as Michel Foucault and Jacques Derrida as one of limited endorsement: he was willing to applaud, for example, Foucaultâs deconstruction of a particular species of humanist subject but not the complete immolation of the category of the subject. The conclusion to Chapter Four offers a solution to the puzzle of Whiteâs political commitments.

Chapter Five focuses on Whiteâs writings from his time at the University of California in the 1980s. After unpicking Whiteâs enigmatic phrase âthe content of the formâ, which became the title of a collection of his essays from this period, Paul addresses the question of Whiteâs antirealism. This aspect of Whiteâs work is brought into line with the central thesis about Whiteâs moral and political commitment. Paul deals with Whiteâs use of âthe sublimeâ in the same fashion, arguing that it ârepeated in a new register Whiteâs old utopian dream of human

self assertion in the face of political oppression, conservative traditions, and religious intoleranceâ (p. 119). White received a great deal of criticism for advancing such a daring set of theses, with the likes of Roger Chartier, and Carlo Ginzburg berating him for, at best, sweeping aside the grounds for criticising undesirable historiography, and at worst, implicitly justifying fascist views on the past. Paul relates how White responded, albeit in typically oblique fashion, to these arguments and went on the offensive himself against conceptions of historiography that he thought to be irresponsible.

Chapter Six tells the story of White the âarchmodernistâ (p. 129). Crucial to this is the concept of the âmodernist eventâ, this being as close to a positive programme as we are likely to read in White. As Paul describes, White was exercised by the class of historical event that he identified as specific to modernity: paradigmatically the Holocaust, but also such moments as the Challenger disaster of 1986 and the devastation of Manhattanâs twin towers in 2001. White argued that these events pushed traditional, nineteenth century, modes of realism to breaking point. What was needed was a new style or set of techniques that were capable of adequately representing them, and this is precisely what historians would find if they looked to the modernist literary canon.

Paulâs study is generally probing of rather than critical toward its material. However, Paul seemingly having learnt the power of the rhetorical question from his subject, concludes by firing off a series of his own: âHow convincing is [Whiteas] humanist and quasi existentialist understanding of the human subject? How persuasive is his voluntarist approach to human agency? Is there a sense in which White may have fallen victim to the myth of anthropocentrism?â (p. 152) While this study is unlikely to be surpassed in the near future in its scholarly attention to detail, another might make as much progress having started on the more critical path suggested here. It might contest whether Whiteas aunburdeneda subject be necessarily coupled with, what Frank Ankersmit has identified as, Whiteas Kantian line of reasoning toward historical representation. Frank Ankersmit / Hans Kellner / Ewa Domanska, Refiguring Hayden White, Stanford 2009, pp. 34â53. The contemporary aposthumanista moment asks that the anthropocentrism of this Kantian legacy be called into question in all areas of the humanities, the philosophy of history no less.

If there is additional discussion of this review, you may access it through the network, at:

http://hsozkult.geschichte.hu-berlin.de/

Citation: Ptolemy Barnes. Review of Paul, Herman, Hayden White. H-Soz-u-Kult, H-Net Reviews. July, 2012.

**URL:** http://www.h-net.org/reviews/showrev.php?id=36777

Copyright © 2012 by H-Net, Clio-online, and the author, all rights reserved. This work may be copied and redistributed for non-commercial, educational purposes, if permission is granted by the author and usage right holders. For permission please contact H-SOZ-U-KULT@H-NET.MSU.EDU.