H-Net Reviews
in the Humanities & Social Sciences



Sylvain Guyot, Julien Dellier, eds. *Rethinking the Wild Coast, South Africa: Eco-frontiers vs Livelihoods in Pondoland.* Saarbrücken: VDM Verlag, 2009. 236 pp. 79.00 EUR (paper), ISBN 978-3-639-20378-3.

Reviewed by Alexandre Tavin

Published on H-SAfrica (October, 2011)

Commissioned by Myriam Houssay-Holzschuch

South African Contested Territories: Eco-frontiers Dynamics and Livelihoods in Pondoland

Sylvain Guyot and Julien Dellierâs work presents a lively and stimulating collection of scholarsâ essays on the Wild Coast area of the Eastern Cape Province (South Africa), more precisely the Pondoland zone, regarded as one of the poorest of the country.[1] This volume contributes to a better understanding of the controversial and changing ruralities of this area. According to the coeditors, it tries to demonstrate to what extent the end of apartheid opened a new era for Pondoland, âstarting with the lodging of land claims on historically seized landâ (p. 29). Its focus helps to apprehend the sound entanglement of state plans, development discourses and practices, and conflicts over this rural part of the former Transkei homeland. The contributors–all sharing intensive experience of the field in Pondoland–are from diverse horizons, including four Africans (three South Africans, one living in Canada, and one Zambian) and six Europeans (three French, two Swedish, and one British); and from various scientific backgrounds (human and development geographers, local nongovernmental [NGO] representatives, race relations historian, agro-ecologist, and environmental consultant).

The authors engage in a dialogue over one of the key ideas âabout rural areas in South Africa (especially former homelands), that shows them as just the spatial extension of mining, logging and urban economyâ (p. 13). This volume is not unified around a single overarching conclusion but provides strong elements for further discussions on the issue. By so doing it tends to overcome the apparent dichotomy between the âappropriation processes imposed from the outsideâ (for example, mining, state and NGO plans, and eco-tourism) and âlocal livelihoodsâ (p. 29).

The book presents a deep insight into the issues of land uses and local livelihoods, community-based and state-planned environmental management, and the conflicts opposing a wide array of stakeholders (the state, local communities, and NGOs) âdisputing different legitimacies based on different uses of ecosystems, resources, or landâ (Guyot, p. 26). To do so, it strongly relies on the idea of âeco-frontier,â as Dellier and Guyot note in

the first chapter, to grasp the âterritorial processesâ of âconflicting political appropriations.â[2] The notion of âeco-frontierâ is threefold: it is a normalized and instrumentalized notion used by stakeholders (such as environmental NGOs producing green politics); a westernized system of political and spatial representation of nature; and a spatial category that encompasses territorial processes and appropriations.[3] As Dellier and Guyot put it, âthe creation and use of the âeco-frontierâ illuminates the history of the global territorialisation of natureâ (p. 77). Their notion of âlivelihoodâ reminds me of, though not completely, the âlivelihood stylesâ discussed by Leo de Hahn and Annelies Zoomers, for it tends to avoid a purely materialist focus on âtrade-off of capitalsâ and encompasses notions of âlocal knowledgeâ and contextual responses to policies and institutions. However, the analysis could have been strengthened by furthering the study of livelihoods as âspecific cultural repertoireâ and by highlighting the entanglement of symbolic and material actions involved in it.[4]

Navy Simukonda and Mcebisi Kraai (chapter 2) discuss the territorial conflicts in Pondoland from the perspective of the NGO for which they work, the Transkei Land Service Organisation (TRALSO). Involved since 1994 in the lodging of peopleâs land claims, they sketch a synthetic view of the elements at stake: the entanglement of state plans and authority; informal arrangements with local chiefs; conservation objectives; and very diverse livelihoods (from cattle, cropping, hunting, and growing marijuana to âmigrant remittance, commuter employment, and skilled labourâ [p. 41]). By doing so, they contribute to a better approach to current issues at stake in the Wild Coast: titanium mining in the Xolobeni community, the construction of a toll road, state power over historically seized lands or the issue of the Wild Coast Casino, and the local community for land claims. To them, âthe continuous unmanaged use of natural resources by a growing population in a context of institutional failure will … lead to environmental degradation and depletion of these resourcesâ (p. 43). As a consequence, they advocate for both âbetter access to land and secure land rightsâ and community-based management of resources (p. 41). However, their position remains unclear as to how to link this local authority with the broader context of land reform, eco-tourism, and use of the resources.

Dellier and Guyot (chapter 3) question Pondoland territorial dynamics through the opposition between outsidersâ appropriations and communitiesâ livelihoods and claims. This is a key chapter, central to the understanding of both the issues inherent to the context of land conflict resolution, at a national level, and the diversity of eco-frontier processes, at the local, Pondoland level. Conflicts between traditional authorities in the former homelands and newly elected leaders are central issues in land reform. As a consequence, âthe land question in the countryside in the former Transkei introduces a double stake, of land property and of citizensâ representation, for the access of local populations to the land ownershipâ (p. 64). The two authors assess four different case studies: Mkambati, Port St. Johns, Wild Coast Sun Casino, and the Xolobeni mine (their results are well summarized in table 3.1, âLand Conflicts Studied,â analyzing each eco-frontier case through its historicity, its current conflicts, and the possible outcomes and freezing factors).

The Wild Coast presents strong evidence of eco-frontier dynamics: physical parameters, such as âfriendly climate, beautiful landscape,â a biodiversity hot spot; geographical parameters, such as âphysical isolation, political marginalization (Homeland policies) and low population densityâ; socioeconomic parameters, such as chronic poverty; and âpioneer-minded White people living in the neighboring cities linked to a preservation-friendly inherited mentality,â somehow deriving from David Nyeâs âfoundation narrativesâ from his *America as Second Creation: Technology and Narratives of New Beginnings* (2003) (p. 69). Referring to Guyotâs basic categories of eco-frontiers, one can understand the Wild Coast through three categories. Firstly, the landscape category is found in from tourism (St. Johnsâs old hotels and Wild Sun casino) to eco-tourism (European Union-funded hiking trails). Secondly, the wilderness category is attached to conservation initiatives and the creation of reserves. Thirdly, the extractive category is attached to logging and mining cases (e.g., the Xolobeni area). From this emerge three different dynamics: conflict around reserves comanagement (Mkambati); land claims (Port St. Johns and Wild Coast casino); and land rights and land use conflicts (eco-tourism versus mining in Xolobeni). The authors succeed in analyzing the issues at stake–and the conflicting appropriations–being synthetic without oversimplifying, for they ground their chapter in detailed and extensive case studies.

In chapter 4, Thembela Kepe and Webster Whande interestingly tackle the controversy and conflicts surrounding the comanagement of natural resources and the diversity of related rural livelihoods in the area and the degradation of resources (for analysis of the degradation and conservation narratives on the Wild Coast, see Flora Hajdu, chapter 6). First, they point out that

it is counterproductive to tackle environmental issues without addressing equity, social justice, and poverty.[5] Then they show that successive administrations in South Africa have not been able to âsuccessfully reconcile conservation, poverty reduction and land rightsâ (p. 103). By so doing, they question the application of the âbuffer zone policy.â For them, this application fails whenever resource tenure rights lack clarity, as it weakens local stakeholders when voicing their authority over land. As a result, these zones become overbalanced in favor of eco-conservation, excluding de jure the local livelihoods that relied on them. Their exclusion from the definition of buffer and conservation zones is not new. Kepe and Whande reveal an existing continuity from protection of indigenous forests since the end of the nineteenth century to rehabilitation schemes in communal rangelands (Apartheid âbetterment planningâ) and the 1980s Transkei Developmental Control Plan: âthe restriction on local resources use and accessâ (p. 109). Kepe and Whande see a reason behind this in the combination of the âdeforestationâ discourse and the narrative that considers âthe rural peopleâ as unable to use and manage natural resources without damaging them. This exclusion has a major consequence, as âthe overwhelming scarcity of local and external employment … makes natural resourcesâ and land-based livelihood in general use extremely important (p. 111). Adam Kuper has made a similar point in his article dealing with the necessity for social anthropology to grasp the unprecedented dimensions of indigenous livelihoods, in a global era.[6]

Dealing with apparently different issues, Lizile Mniki (chapter 5 on indigenous subsistence fishing) and William Beinart (chapter 7 on livestock management) address the question of the practices of space and of natural resources, and the way land claims âgroundâ themselves in such practices. Their analysis could have been furthered in light of Francesca Merlanâs work on Northern Territory Australian Aboriginal communities, to see how politics of localism and indigeneity are also highly spatialized practices.[7] Mniki and Beinart show the entanglement of scales: national agriculture plans and displacement politics; transnational origins of global concurrence, hygiene standards, and development/conservation narratives; and local dynamics of resistance, reappropriation, and framing of new claims. Once again, the two case studies demonstrate to which extent local populations are being marginalized. Drawing back on various contributions–the buffer zone concept (chapter 4) and the âlandscape and wilderness categoriesâ (chapter 3), as well as work by Patrick Thipe Ntsime, Maano Ramutsindela, and Guyot–Mnikiâs chapter shows just how much colonial practices âaround protected areas did not end with the end of the formal colonization. Instead they have continued in new formsâ (p. 124).[8] Marine protected areas have âalienated rather than benefited the local indigenous populations, because the design and the execution of the fishing policy has had the effect of regulating the people out of the subsistence fishing, which they regard as their rightâ (p. 123). Moreover, âtourist landscapes are developed and marketed under the agency of national and international economic and political institutions which lie outside the control of the majority of the local residents who inhabit these spacesâ (p. 123). Though Beinart does not evaluate extensively environmental effects of livestock variation in number, he too concludes that there is a sound need for discussion and common approaches between state conservation agencies, environmentalists, and local communities, to overcome the current opposition between local knowledge and top-down external management.

In chapter 8, Klara Jacobson evaluates the interests of an agriculture state program directed to Pondoland smallholders, aiming at implementing commercial farming methods. The author clearly states that development plans are embedded in (neo)colonial binary thinking opposing âunproductiveâ subsistence agriculture to âproductiveâ commercially oriented agriculture (p. 195). These plans lack any extensive understanding of local livelihoods. The apartheid administration âsystematically undermined the possibilities for black South Africans to make a living in rural areas,â through restrictions on movement and reduced access to land (p. 201). By so doing, it played a bigger role in reducing the viability of rural smallholder agriculture than the structural adjustment conditionality of the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund in the 1980s ever played in many sub-Saharan countries. This systematic undermining created and reinforced âa link of dependency between labour migration and agriculture in the homelands, where neither alone could provide a livelihoodâ (p. 201). Therefore, any development plan will fail as long as it does not take into serious account land redistribution.

Flora Hajduâs brilliant chapter (chapter 6) addresses broad narratives of the degradation of natural resources through case studies of two small villages–Cutwini and Manteku– and their local livelihoods. She challenges those narratives, largely found in development programs, environmental NGOs and initiatives, and state-driven plans of conservation, to show how they somehow misread the situation on the ground and give a strong but

false interpretation of the diversity of livelihoods in rural former Transkei. This challenge is key to a better understanding of the politics at work in Pondoland, for these narratives constitute the cornerstone–or at least a part of it–of most of the externally imposed dynamics in the region. The author examines the links between the belief that the former homelands were overpopulated and severely deteriorated–âlike eroded, overstocked and overcrowded rural ghettoes,â âcharacterized by … frequent environmental collapseâ–and the belief that, today, the Transkei environment is under extreme pressure; and shows how both inform current development policy.[9] It is often posited that local people overexploit natural resources because of their ignorance and/or poverty; this conviction often leads to another one, âthat areas have been originally covered by a dense forest, which the inhabitants have converted into a savannah through their practices of landâ (p. 133).

Hajdu underlines that it is hard for researchers to point out that there might not be degradations in some zones of âformer homelands without seeming to suggest that apartheid policies did not lead to environmental problemsâ (p. 137). She nevertheless succeeds in making a clear twofold argument. Firstly, she challenges âthe belief that there is a severe degradation all over Transkei, linked to local overuse of resources,â as local livelihoods show a low reliance on the environment and the two villages show no evidence of âany acute and severe degradationâ (p. 152). Secondly, she addresses the dangers and problems of these âpaternalisticâ discourses and shows their multi-scaled entanglement. These narratives seem to serve within âAfrican societies and internationally, to sanction the appropriation of resources by states from local communitiesâ to justify âinternational organizations that take resources from African Statesâ and–in a different context–are used by governments of developed nations as âa stick with which to beat their underdeveloped counterparts.â[10] Such narratives reassert an artificial divide and enduring colonial dichotomy between âmodernityâ (associated with progress, development, and order) and âtraditionâ (associated with underdevelopment, poverty, and disorder).[11]

This volume constitutes an excellent overview of the key issues of land claims, eco-frontiers, and the politics of space at work through narratives, conflicts, and development plans in Pondoland and Eastern Cape Province. The authors provide the reader with a rich and yet not homogenous picture of the area, leading to a better understanding of the complicated entanglement of stakeholders and scales. It would now be of the utmost interest to ground further discussions on these materials and question the very notion of livelihood, by introducing âlivelihood stylesâ–not just material focus but âa specific cultural repertoire composed of shared experiences, knowledge,… an integrated set of practices and of the interrelations with markets, technology and institutions; and responses to policiesâ –to highlight âthe interconnection of the symbolic and material dimensions of economic action.â[12] Moreover, it would be useful to reflect back on Clifford Geertzâs âagricultural involutionâ (*Agricultural Involution: The Processes of Ecological Change in Indonesia* [1963]) in Java and, without ignoring dramatic difference in context, cast a new light on the results of the present volume.

Notes

[1]. Leslie Bank and Gary Minkley, âGoing Nowhere Slowly? Land, Livelihoods and Rural Development in the Eastern Cape,â *Social Dynamics* 31, no. 1 (2005): 1-38.

[2]. See also Sylvain Guyot, âFronts Ã©cologiques et Ã©co-conquÃ©rants: DÃ©finitions et typologies. L'exemple des ONG environnementales en quÃªte de CÃ´te Sauvage (Afrique du Sud),â *Cybergeo: European Journal of Geography, Environnement, Nature, Paysage* (2009): article 471, last version updated on Web site on July 27, 2010, `http://cybergeo.revues.org/22651`.

[3]. Ibid.

[4]. Leo de Hahn and Annelies Zoomers, âExploring the Frontiers of Livelihoods Research,â *Development and Change* 36, no. 1 (2005): 28-29.

[5]. See also Ben Cousins and Thembela Kepe, âDecentralisation When Land and Resources Rights Are Deeply Contested: A Case Study of the Mkambati Ecotourism Project on the Wild Coast of South-Africa,â *European Journal of Development Research* 16, no. 1 (2002): 41- 54.

[6]. Adam Kuper, âThe Return of the Native,â *Current Anthropology* 44, no. 3 (2003): 389- 402.

[7]. On indigeneity, see Francesca Merlan, âIndigeneity: Global and Local,â *Current Anthropology* 50, no. 3 (2009): 303-333.

[8]. Patrick Thipe Ntsime, âDeconstructing Sustainable Development: Towards a Participatory Methodology for Natural Resources Management,â *Development Southern Africa* 21, no. 4 (2004): 707-718; Maano Ramutsindela, *The Science of Sustainable Development* (Lon-

don: Cambridge University Press, 2004); and Sylvain Guyot, *Zulu Shores, South Africa: Green Disputes in Black and White* (SaarbrÃ¼cken: VDM Verlag, 2008).

[9]. Quotations from Colin Bundy, âThe Emergence and Decline of a South African Peasantry,â *African Affairs* 71, no. 285 (1972): 369; and Etienne Nel and Jack Davies, âFarming against the Odds: An Examination of the Challenges Facing Farming and Rural Development in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa,â *Applied Geography* 19 (1999): 260.

[10]. Gregory Maddox, âDegradation Narratives and Population Time Bomb: Myths and Realities about the African Environments,â in *South Africaâs Environmental History: Cases and Comparisons*, ed. Stephen Dowers, Ruth Edgecombe, and Bill Guest (Cape Town: David Philip, 2002), 253-254.

[11]. Akhil Gupta, *Postcolonial Developments: Agriculture in the Making of Modern India* (Durham: Duke University Press, 1998).

[12]. Quotations from De Hahn and Zoomers, âExploring the Frontiers,â 40; and Derrick Fay, âLand Tenure, Land Use and Land Reform at Dwesa-Cebe, South-Africa: Local Transformations and the Limits of the State,â *World Development* 37, no. 8 (2009): 148.

If there is additional discussion of this review, you may access it through the network, at:

https://networks.h-net.org/h-safrica

Citation: Alexandre Tavin. Review of Guyot, Sylvain; Dellier, Julien, eds., *Rethinking the Wild Coast, South Africa: Eco-frontiers vs Livelihoods in Pondoland.* H-SAfrica, H-Net Reviews. October, 2011.

URL: http://www.h-net.org/reviews/showrev.php?id=32879

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 United States License.